

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

Contact:

Erik Paul Belt

Bromberg & Sunstein LLP

125 Summer Street

Boston, MA 02110

617-443-9292

**BROMBERG & SUNSTEIN SCORES PRECEDENT-SETTING VICTORY FOR
KASPERSKY LAB IN 9TH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS**

BOSTON, MA – June 30, 2009 - Bromberg & Sunstein LLP, a leading Boston intellectual property law firm, announces an important win for its client Kaspersky Lab, Inc., a vendor of award-winning Internet security software. The ruling of the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit in *Zango, Inc. v. Kaspersky Lab, Inc.* (No. 07-35800) sets precedent in the area of Internet and computer privacy law. Erik Belt, lead counsel from Bromberg & Sunstein noted, “We successfully argued that Internet security software providers, such as our client, could not be liable to website operators for blocking those operators’ online content. A Zango win could have had a chilling effect on the entire computer security industry.”

The Ninth Circuit upheld a lower court’s ruling that Kaspersky could not be sued by a provider of downloadable videos and other programs. Kaspersky, the appeals court agreed, is protected from suit by the Communications Decency Act (“CDA”) of 1996, which among other things, immunizes software providers from liability for blocking or filtering online content. This case marks the first time a court has applied this statute to protect an Internet security provider from claims that its anti-adware and anti-virus software improperly blocked Internet downloads of potential malware.

The case began in May 2007, when Zango, Inc., an operator of websites providing downloadable videos and other programs, sued Kaspersky, alleging that the Kaspersky software improperly classified Zango’s downloads as “adware” and thus improperly blocked potential users from downloading Zango’s programs. Kaspersky argued that it was immune from Zango’s lawsuit under the safe harbor provision of the CDA. In August 2007, the United States District Court for the Western District of Washington, in Seattle, granted summary judgment for Kaspersky, holding that Kaspersky was entitled to immunity under the CDA. Zango appealed to the Ninth Circuit, which has now affirmed the lower court’s ruling.

Mr. Belt, who is also Vice Chair of Bromberg & Sunstein’s Litigation Practice Group added, “We are pleased that Kaspersky has prevailed and can focus on the important business of protecting its customers from adware, spyware, and other online security threats.”